Wire Arc Additive Manufacturing (WAAM) of High Strength and Individualized Steel Components

- Mechanical properties became reliable and predictable
- Manufacturing strategies for geometrical features were developed and process constraints were identified
- Effects of print features / manufacturing conditions on the surface quality and material parameters were investigated
- A material model for the simulation of WAAM-components was developed
- Variations of force-flow design method were applied to different case studies

 $[MPa]$

800

600

400

200

Project status **Project status**

Additive Manufacturing in Construction 1 st funding period: The Challenge of Large Scale

st funding period

Project summary

Large scale demonstrator

This project investigates the use of WAAM for steel components. The four project objectives include: investigating fabrication-related design strategies for WAAM to consider manufacturing constraints; establishing a stable WAAM process for complex components; evolving test methods to capture typical WAAM features; and adopting a digital twin approach for certifying individual WAAM components for safe use in buildings or infrastructure.

Funded by

German Research Foundation

Prof. Dr.-Ing. Jonas Hensel, Johanna Müller Prof. Dr.-Ing. Klaus Thiele, Julian Unglaub, Hendrik Jahns Prof. Dr.-Ing. Harald Kloft, Neira Babovic

Effects of process parameters (interpass temperature, energy input, active cooling) on material properties were investigated

Institute of Joining and Assembly (IFMT), TUC Institute of Steel Structures (IS), TUBS Institute of Structural Design (ITE), TUBS

Effects of occurring print features on the material parameters of machined specimens were investigated

A07 and A04 investigated the bond behaviour of concrete and WAAM-rebars with different surface topographies

Simulations of as-built tensile specimens with the method of C01

LPBF-WAAM tensile tests (DIC/ESPI) and characterisation of interface microstructure in collaboration with A06

• Numerical material models for the simulation of as-built WAAM-components were developed -0° overhang

 $\frac{12}{5}$ 4.5 $32.1\frac{1}{2}$ 28.5

- Buckling tests on imperfect tubes were simulated and performed
- A class structure and

• Differences in design between WAAM bars, shells and volumes are distinguished and use of lattice for concrete reinforcement is discussed

10 cm

Fabrication-related design strategies

• Manufacturing constraints that need to be considered in the early stage of design have been identified and valorised

• Initial geometry for the topology optimisation was chosen to demonstrate the general manufacturability of a Y-Node

Fig 9: Anchorage structure

Main outcome of 1st funding period

WAAM process

to correct the dynamically changing emission coefficient

• A tolerance range for weld bead distances was identified, in which no lack of fusion occurred

Material behaviour

Fig 6: Thickness of walls and median force of asbuilt specimens from different walls

Fig 7: Force-displacement curve for as-built tensile specimens cut from different walls

Fig 5: Surface topology of walls with different overhang angles

Fig 3: Tolerance ranges for weld bead distances for different process parameters

Fig 1: Stress-strain curves and microstructures for

strain 1%

4 6 8 10 12 14 16

different interpass temperatures

Fig 2: t-T profile with constant ε (blue) and temperature dependant ε (yellow)

• Influence of the manufacturing positions on the surface quality and their mechanical performance was identified

Component response and DT

methods to connect and store design, process, and testing data was created

Fig 10: Geometric deviation of the imperfect tube from a perfect tube

Fig 8: Occurring geometric features after optimising the initial design